

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/513,362	02/25/2000	Mark S Chee	A-67851:2/DJB/RMS/DCF	7034	
41552 75	590 09/15/2005		EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE, SUITE 700			STRZELECKA, TERESA E		
SAN DIEGO,		E 700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·		•	1637		
	. •		DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
09/513,362		CHEE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
ı				
	Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637		

	Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event; however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
(a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b)⊠ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below), (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	. (FIOL-324).			
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling					
	M will not be entered or b) M	ill be entered and an	evalenation of			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanat how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a North of the affidate of	Notice of Appeal will <u>revidence</u> in the control of	ot be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No/s/ 18/30/05						
13. Other:	JEFFREY FREDMAN					
PRIMARY EXAMINER						
1 3		9/148				

9/12/05

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claims 1, 7, 10, 14, 18 and 34, as well as the new claims 35-43 require new search and consideration. Further, the passsages from the specification listed by Applicants as supporting some of the amendments do not seem to correspond to the claims, e.g. support for claims 18, 36, 40, 38, and 41-43 cannot be found in the paragraphs cited by Applicants. Applicants also mention claims 44 and 46 which have not been added, at least not according to the listing of claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue amended claims, and the amendments have not been entered, therefore the arguments are moot. Applicants submitted an IDS, stating that the submission is within the three months of the U.S. filing date or before the mailing date of the first office action. Neither one of these applies, since the filing date of the instant case is February 25, 2000, and the last action mailed to Applicants was the Final Office Action. Therefore, Applicants' submission of the IDS is not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.98 and the IDS was not considered.